

## Appendix G - Eagles Ridge Community Input

Q. Should clustered development be considered to retain as much open space as possible?

A. Clustered development is probably the only thing that will prevent this valley from becoming like any other resort developed area. I believe that the county has been very careful and intelligent about where and when PRUD's have been approved in the valley.

Q. Should community recreation areas be considered, e.g., parks, picnic areas, soccer fields, outdoor concert areas, related parking areas, etc.

A. In my opinion the developments and developers should be on the hook to contribute to community parks so that they can be used and shared by all residents, not just the buyers in their own development. This is already done in practically every every other area in Weber county.

Q. Is it desirable to retain and maintain the current Wolf Creek Golf Course? Is there a need and benefit from having another golf course developed?

A. Of course it should be retained. No, I don't think the valley could support another at this time.

Q. Should biking and hiking trails be continued through all the communities developed?

A. Yes.

Q. Should there be some commercial areas to provide local shopping, restaurants, etc.?

A. Yes. the commercial areas should be clustered just at developments are. The shopping areas and other commercial areas should be around where the developments are and not just willy nilly around the valley depending on where the land is cheapest.

Q. Should consideration be given to a commercial transportation hub to support the projected development and travel to Powder Mountain?

A. Yes. This, however, should be done in cooperation with UTA so that public transit into and out of the valley can be coordinated with the recreation areas whether it be the ski areas or the lake.

Q. Will additional new roads or improvements to existing roads be required to handle increased development?

A. Yes, especially where there is only one way into or out of any commercial or residential area. This is really important in case of fire, emergency or natural disaster.

Q. Should there be requirements for emergency preparedness?

A. I believe that CERT should be a priority for everyone in the valley seeing as isolated as we are liable to be in case of disaster.

Q. Should wildlife conservation be considered?

A. Wildlife protection AND management should be a part of all planning done in this area. The natural trails and game areas should be preserved and not developed so as to severely impact the natural balance of the wildlife.

Q. Should impact to schools be considered?

A. The valley is already impacted by having to transport all high school students to North Ogden. I would hope that as the valley grows another high school could be located here or perhaps a combined junior high and high school.

## Appendix G - Eagles Ridge Community Input

Second reply:

As skiers we are very interested in transportation to and from Powder Mountain. The current UTA bus is a great option and the new Eden Center is a great covered area to wait for the bus. We would like to see the bus continue to stop at Moosehollow as well as this is the main area for skiing guests to stay. This will decrease the number of cars up the mountain and provide much needed transportation for out-of-town vacation renters. A case could be made for Summit to pick up this service if they are inclined. I don't think anyone would mind a fee for service for this whoever provides it. We currently pay \$9/round trip or \$70/season pass for the UTA bus.

Of course open land for hiking and biking is what makes this valley such a great place to live and must be balanced with planned development. That's all we have come up with so far. I'm sure if we went to a meeting we would have a lot more question/ideas.

Third reply:

Cluster Development:

·Cluster development is advisable for any new development as it limits footprint and reduces sprawl. There is also less water consumed which will ultimately be growths limiting factor in years to come. Those wishing larger tracts are simply not going to build within a planned development. Cluster development is simply more sustainable in the long term. However, this needs to be done properly to protect those that have already built and developed properties to maintain the existing dynamics. Building a cluster development as infill near/inside another existing development is not advisable nor right.

Maintain the Golf Course:

·The existing golf course should be maintained for the draw to the valley, recreation for part time visitors, and those that own properties on the course. At this point I do not believe that another course would be of benefit as the existing course is teetering on sustainability. Another course is many many years off from being realistic.

Recreation areas:

·Yes, every community should have parks/recreation areas. The current parks around the valley lack a "common draw." A well planned and accessorized park would be of benefit to the valley.

Trails:

·Well used and planned resort communities have a network of trails. Again, existing property/development impacts should be limited but a master plan of trail networks is advisable, especially as traffic increases.

Commercial Areas:

·The more people that move to the valley, the more commercial areas are needed. These should be small business type areas, not big box stores. These areas obviously need to be limited in area and scope to maintain the appeal of the area.

Powder Mountain:

·I do not see this as a pressing issue. Expansion of the current shuttle lot would suffice.

New Roads:

## Appendix G - Eagles Ridge Community Input

·The current infrastructure cannot handle a significant expansion of residents. The roads will have to add capacity. Traffic on big snow days (skiing), traffic to the lake in the summer, and during festivals in the valley becomes extremely congested.

Emergency Preparedness:

·No brainer. Scared to think if this has not been addressed already.

Wildlife:

·Don't know what is meant by this. If it means eliminating hunting/fishing/trapping in all areas of the valley then no, that should not happen. If that means limiting these activities in residential subdivision type areas then I am OK with that. There could be property size restrictions. I would not support these rules on public land.

Schools:

·Again, this is a no brainer. Schools need to be right sized for the quantity of kids.